



## ***Global Zero is an End in Itself - Focus on First Steps!***

The vast majority of Atlantic Community's authors and commenters argues strongly for the abolition of nuclear weapons. During the Global Zero Theme Week they concluded that a nuclear free world may only be an idealistic objective (**Mohsin**), but that the aspiration towards it is an end in itself, as the pursuit of Global Zero will help discourage further nuclear proliferation, however it will not stop Iran or North Korea (**Posaner, Korb/Wilkins**). In this context, the policies recommended by our members mainly focus on primary and intermediate steps towards nuclear abolition and not the ultimate goal of zero nuclear weapons.

Our contributors largely agree, that the most important step towards a nuclear free world is to take into account the security concerns of all nations, not only the major nuclear powers (**Ghoshroy**). If this basic prerequisite is not fulfilled, the goal of disarmament will never be achieved. In terms of policy recommendations, participants emphasize three crucial points in order to create a global security environment conducive to nuclear disarmament:

### **1. The West must consider the *larger security concerns of North Korea and Iran.***

There is broad consensus that the most vital step towards Global Zero is negotiating progress on a broad scale with both North Korea and Iran (**Blechman**). The community believes that a joint Western and Russian commitment to zero will not be persuasive enough for states with a history of defiance in the international sphere. Put simply, "moral reasons" will not suffice (**Lawson, Milunovic, Stadler**). In particular Pyongyang fears America's "hostile policy" that is less connected to nuclear superiority but instead to the threat of conventional attack, economic sanctions, and attempts to subordinate its government. Improving political relations with Washington is seen as Pyongyang's primary condition for denuclearization, more so than the elimination of the US nuclear threat. Members conclude that such anxieties regarding US conventional power and leverage in the international community similarly apply in the case of Iran, thus stopping the nuclear programs of both Iran and North Korea will only be possible through sustained US diplomatic give-and-take (**Sigal**).

### **2. Conventional Disarmament must run parallel to Nuclear Disarmament.**

The majority of our contributors agree with **Charles Ferguson** when he states "that further progress towards nuclear disarmament [...] will only occur through linkage to conventional arms control." Nuclear weapons are merely used to deter nuclear threats but also to counter conventional superiority (**Heinrich, Macdonald**). Most importantly in this respect, NATO has to offer Russia meaningful strategic dialogue that addresses Moscow's key security concerns, namely conventional military inferiority vis-a-vis the West.

### **3. US and Russia must lead the way with deep cuts in their nuclear stockpile.**

**Tom Collina** leads the consensus of our members when stating that one of the most crucial steps towards Global Zero is to achieve significant and permanent cuts in US-Russian arms stocks. According to the community, this would not only include a serious reduction of their nuclear stockpiles, but also include an agreement on a test ban as well as talks on a Fissile Material Cut-off Treaty including other nuclear states (**Blechman**).

As the US and Russia together possess more than 90% of the world's nuclear weaponry, initial commitments must be made in both Washington and Moscow to lead the global nuclear disarmament effort by example (**Mazzucelli**). Thus, all our contributors appreciated April's joint commitment by Obama and Medvedev to eliminate nuclear weapons and stress the overarching importance of the completion of the START replacement treaty by the end of 2009.

#### **Atlantische Initiative e.V.**

Wilhelmstraße 67  
10117 Berlin  
Germany

Tel: +49.30.206 337 88  
Fax: +49.30.206 337 90

#### **Atlantic Memo Contributors**

##### Authors:

Barry Blechman, Henry L. Stimson Center  
Paul Carrol, Plughshares Fund  
Tom Collina, Arms Control Association  
Dieter Dettke, Georgetown University  
Charles Ferguson, Council on Foreign Relations  
Robert Gard, Center for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation  
Hall Gardner, American University of Paris  
Subrata Ghoshroy, MIT  
Lawrence Korb, Center for American Progress  
Kenneth Luongo, Partnership for Global Security  
Jacqueline Shire, Institute for Science and International Security  
Leon Sigal, Social Science Research Council  
Henry Sokolski, Nonproliferation Education Policy Center  
Milton Wilkins, Center for American Progress  
Ward Wilson, Princeton University

##### Commenters:

Barry Blechman, Henry L. Stimson Center  
Charles Ferguson, Council of Foreign Relations  
Jens Heinrich, University of Magdeburg  
Greg Rudolph Lawson, Blogger  
Julia Lissek, Oldenburg University  
Colette Grace Mazucelli, New York University  
Clayton Macdonald, Thomas Daily  
Nikolina Milunovic, Zeppelin University  
Ilyas Mohsin, Pakistan Peoples Party  
Joshua Posaner, SOAS  
Donald Stadler, Self-Employed  
Urs Schrade, Heidelberg University  
Marek Swierczynski, Journalist, Polish Television

*Atlantic Memos showcase the best ideas and arguments from debates in the Open Think Tank on [atlantic-community.org](http://atlantic-community.org). All policy recommendations in this document were made by authors and registered members of the Atlantic Community.*